

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

PURDUE PHARMA PRODUCTS L.P.,)
NAPP PHARMACEUTICAL GROUP LTD.,)
BIOVAIL LABORATORIES INTERNATIONAL,)
SRL, and ORTHO-MCNEIL, INC.,)

Plaintiffs/Counterclaim-Defendants,)

v.)

C.A. No. 07-666 (JJF)

PAR PHARMACEUTICAL, INC. and)
PAR PHARMACEUTICAL COMPANIES, INC.,)

Defendants/Counterclaim-Plaintiffs.)

**PLAINTIFFS' REPLY TO THE COUNTERCLAIMS
SET FORTH IN DEFENDANTS' ANSWER AND COUNTERCLAIMS**

Plaintiffs/counterclaim defendants Purdue Pharma Products L.P., Napp Pharmaceutical Group Ltd., Biovail Laboratories International, SRL, and Ortho-McNeil, Inc. (collectively, "Plaintiffs") reply to Defendants/counterclaim plaintiffs Par Pharmaceutical, Inc. and Par Pharmaceutical Companies, Inc.'s (collectively, "Par") Counterclaims as follows:

REPLY

1. Admitted on information and belief.
2. Admitted on information and belief.
3. Admitted.
4. Admitted.
5. Admitted.
6. Admitted.

7. Par purports to assert claims arising under the patent laws of the United States, 35 U.S.C. § 1 *et seq.*, and the Declaratory Judgment Act, 28 U.S.C. §§ 2201 and 2202,

and purports to seek declaratory relief. Except as expressly admitted, Plaintiffs deny the averments of Paragraph 7.

8. Par purports to base subject matter jurisdiction on the statutes listed in Paragraph 8. Plaintiffs do not contest that subject matter jurisdiction exists. Except as expressly admitted, Plaintiffs deny the averments of Paragraph 8.

9. Admitted.

10. Since May 4, 2007, Purdue Pharma Products L.P. and Napp Pharmaceutical Group Ltd. have been the assignees of U.S. Patent No. 6,254,887 ("the '887 patent"). Except as expressly admitted, Plaintiffs deny the averments of Paragraph 10.

11. Admitted.

12. Admitted on information and belief.

REPLY TO FIRST COUNT

13. Plaintiffs repeat and incorporate their reply to Paragraphs 1-12.

14. Denied.

15. Admitted.

16. Admitted.

17. Denied.

REPLY TO SECOND COUNT

18. Plaintiffs repeat and incorporate their reply to Paragraphs 1-12.

19. Denied.

20. Admitted.

21. Admitted.

22. Denied.

AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES

23. The '887 patent is not invalid.

24. Par has infringed and will infringe under 35 U.S.C. § 271 the claims of the '887 patent.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs pray for judgment:

A. Dismissing Par's Counterclaims;

B. Adjudging that the '887 patent is valid;

C. Adjudging that Par has infringed the '887 patent and that such infringement has been willful and deliberate;

D. Adjudging, pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 271(e)(4)(A), the effective date of any approval of Par's ANDA No. 78-783 under § 505(j) of the Federal Food, Drug and Cosmetic Act (21 U.S.C. § 355(j)) to be a date that is not earlier than the date of expiration of the '887 patent;

E. Preliminary and permanently enjoining, pursuant to 35 U.S.C. §§ 271(e)(4)(B) and 283 and Rule 65, Fed. R. Civ. P., defendants Par Pharmaceutical Companies, Inc. and Par Pharmaceutical, Inc., their officers, agents, servants, employees, parents, subsidiaries, affiliate corporations, other related business entities and all other persons acting in concert, participation or in privity with them, and their successors and assigns, from any commercial manufacture, use, offer to sell or sale within the United States, or importation into the United States, of any drug product that infringes the '887 patent;

F. Awarding Plaintiffs damages, together with prejudgment interest and costs, as provided by 35 U.S.C. §§ 271(e)(4)(C) and 284;

G. Trebling the damages awarded, as provided by 35 U.S.C. § 284;

H. Declaring this an exceptional case and awarding Plaintiffs their attorneys' fees, as provided by 35 U.S.C. §§ 271(e)(4) and 285; and

I. Awarding Plaintiffs such other and further relief as this Court may deem just and proper.

MORRIS, NICHOLS, ARSHT & TUNNELL LLP

/s/ Rodger D. Smith II

Jack B. Blumenfeld (#1014)
Rodger D. Smith II (#3778)
1201 N. Market Street
P.O. Box 1347
Wilmington, DE 19899-1347
(302) 658-9200
jblumenfeld@mnat.com
rsmith@mnat.com

Attorneys for Plaintiffs
Purdue Pharma Products L.P.
and Napp Pharmaceutical Group Ltd.

Of Counsel:

Robert J. Goldman
ROPES & GRAY LLP
525 University Avenue
Suite 300
Palo Alto, CA 94301
(650) 617-4000

THE BAYARD FIRM

/s/ Richard D. Kirk

Richard D. Kirk (#922)
222 Delaware Avenue, Suite 900
P.O. Box 25130
Wilmington, DE 19899-5130
(302) 429-4208
rkirk@bayardfirm.com
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Biovail Laboratories International, SRL

Herbert F. Schwartz
Richard A. Inz
Sona De
ROPES & GRAY LLP
1211 Avenue of the Americas
New York, NY 10036
(212) 596-9000

CONNOLLY BOVE LODGE & HUTZ LLP

/s/ Mary W. Bourke

Mary W. Bourke (#2356)
1007 N. Orange Street
P.O. Box 2207
Wilmington, DE 19899
(302) 658-9141
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Ortho-McNeil, Inc.

December 3, 2007

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Rodger D. Smith II, hereby certify that on December 3, 2007, I caused the foregoing to be electronically filed with the Clerk of the Court using CM/ECF, which will send notification of such filing to the following:

Frederick L. Cottrell, III
RICHARDS, LAYTON & FINGER

and that on December 3, 2007, I caused copies to be served upon the following in the manner indicated:

**BY ELECTRONIC MAIL
and HAND DELIVERY**

Frederick L. Cottrell, III
Steven J. Fineman
RICHARDS, LAYTON & FINGER
One Rodney Square
Wilmington, DE 19801

BY ELECTRONIC MAIL

Edgar J. Haug
Robert E. Colletti
FROMMER LAWRENCE & HAUG LLP
745 Fifth Avenue
New York, NY 10151

/s/ Rodger D. Smith II
Rodger D. Smith II (#3778)